arXiv:1207.5930v1 [math.DS] 25 Jul 2012

DYNAMICS OF COMPOSITE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

DINESH KUMAR, GOPAL DATT, AND SANJAY KUMAR

ABSTRACT. It is known that the dynamics of f and g vary to a large extent from that of its composite entire functions. We have shown using Approximation theory of entire functions, the existence of entire functions f and g having infinite number of domains satisfying various properties and relating it to their composition. We have explored and enlarged all the maximum possible ways of the solution in comparison to the past result worked out.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let f be a transcendental entire function. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let f^n denote the nth iterate of f. The set $F(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \{f^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is normal in some neighborhood of $z\}$ is called the Fatou set of f or the set of normality of f and its complement $J(f)$ is the Julia set of f. The Fatou set is open and completely invariant: $z \in F(f)$ if and only if $f(z) \in F(f)$ and consequently $J(f)$ is completely invariant. The Julia set of a transcendental entire function is non empty, closed perfect set and unbounded. All these results and more can be found in Bergweiler [10]. If U is a component of Fatou set $F(f)$, then $f(U)$ lies in some component V of $F(f)$. In fact $V \setminus f(U)$ is a set which contains atmost one point [12]. This result was also proved in [21] independently. A component U of the Fatou set is called a wandering domain if $U_k \cap U_l = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$, where U_k denotes the component of $F(f)$ containing $f^k(U)$, otherwise U is called a preperiodic component of $F(f)$ $f^k(U_l) = U_l$, for some $k, l \geq 0$. If $f^k(U) = U$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then U is called a periodic component of $F(f)$. Sullivan [28] proved that the Fatou set of any rational function has no wandering domain. It was Baker [2] who gave the first example of an entire function having wandering domain. Thereafter several other examples of wandering domains have been given by various authors (see [26]). Certain classes of transcendental functions which do not have wandering domains are also known [3, 7, 8, 11, 13, 17, 18, 20, 27, 28].

Fatou in 1918 proved that if f and g are two rational functions that commute $f \circ g = g \circ f$, then $F(f) = F(g)$ [9]. This was the most famous result that motivated the dynamics of composition of complex functions. Similar results for transcendental entire functions is still not known, though it holds in some very special cases [3]. If f and g are transcendental entire functions, then so is $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ and the dynamics of one composite entire function

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F10.

Key words and phrases. Fatou set, wandering domain, Carleman set, preperiodic domain.

The research work of the first author is supported by research fellowship from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi and of the second author by research fellowship from UGC, New Delhi.

helps in the study of the dynamics of the other and vice-versa. The following theorem is a well known result [14, 15, 25].

Theorem A If f and g are two non-linear entire functions, then $f \circ g$ has wandering domain if and only if $g \circ f$ has wandering domain.

It is also known that the dynamics of $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are very similar. Singh [26] constructed several examples where the dynamics of f and g vary largely from the dynamics of the composite entire functions. In fact he proved:

Theorem B Let f and g be transcendental entire functions, then

1. There exists a domain which lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$.
2. There exists a domain which lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$ and the wandering component of $g \circ f$.
3. There exists a domain which lies in the periodic component of f and periodic component of g, but lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$ and the wandering component of $g \circ f$.
4. There exists a domain which lies in the periodic component of f and periodic component of g and also in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ but lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$.

In the construction of the proof in [26], the author has exhibited entire functions f and g with one domain G_1 satisfying the conditions of Theorem B. In this connection, one would also be interested in knowing whether it is possible to have entire functions f and g having more than one domain satisfying the conditions of Theorem B. This is possible. We have shown the existence of entire functions having infinitely many domains satisfying the conditions of Theorem B. Moreover we have constructed several other examples where the dynamical behavior of f and g vary greatly from the dynamical behavior of $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$. We have explored and enlarged all the maximum possible ways of the solution in comparison to the past result worked out. In our investigation f and g are always to be assumed transcendental entire functions.

2. THEOREMS AND THEIR PROOFS

We will require the following results from Approximation theory of entire functions to prove the theorems (see [19]):

Let S be a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$C(S) = \{h : S \to \mathbb{C} \mid h \,\text{is continuous on}\, S \,\text{and analytic in the interior}\, S^\circ \,\text{of}\, S\}.$$

Then S is called a Carleman set (for $\mathbb{C}$) if for any $f \in C(S)$ and any positive continuous function ϵ on S, there exists an entire function g such that $|f(z) - g(z)| < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in S$.

Theorem C ([19]). Let S be a closed proper subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Then S is a Carleman set in $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if S satisfies:

(i) $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$ is connected;
(ii) $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S$ is locally connected at ∞;
(iii) for every compact subset K of $\mathbb{C}$ there exists a neighborhood V of ∞ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}}$ such that no component of S° intersects both K and V.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists infinite number of domains which lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$.*

Proof. We follow the construction of Carleman set as in [26]. Let

$$S = G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (G_k \cup B_k \cup L_k \cup M_k) \right\}$$

where $G_0 = \{z : |z-2| \leq 1\}$
$G_k = \{z : |z-(4k+2)| \leq 1\} \cup \{z : Re\, z = 4k+2 \text{ and } Im\, z \geq 1\} \cup \{z : Re\, z = 4k+2 \text{ and } Im\, z \leq -1\},\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$
$M_k = \{z : Re\, z = -4k\},\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$
$L_k = \{z : Re\, z = 4k\},\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$
$B_k = \{z : |z+(4k+2)| \leq 1\} \cup \{z : Re\, z = -(4k+2) \text{ and } Im\, z \geq 1\} \cup \{z : Re\, z = -(4k+2) \text{ and } Im\, z \leq -1\},\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$
Then using Theorem C, we get S is a Carleman set.
It is known that the set of all natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ can be expressed in an infinite array of numbers as

$$\left\{ \frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1 + pq + \frac{p(p+1)}{2} : p = 0, 1, \ldots,\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \right\}$$

Infact a natural number lying in row p and column q ($p = 0, 1, \ldots,\ q = 1, 2, \ldots$) would be $\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1 + pq + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$. Next if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let r be the least positive integer such that $\frac{r(r+1)}{2} \geq n$ and $s = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} - n$. Then n lies in row $n_r = r - s - 1$ and column $n_c = s + 1$. Thus without any loss of generality we may denote the set G_n by its place position G_{n_r, n_c} say, or more simply by $G_{i,j}$ for suitable i, j and $G_{i,j}$ may be denoted by G_n for suitable n, and similarly for other terms. We can write $G_k = G_{p,q}$ for suitable p, q. Using the continuity of e^z, for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ choose $\eta_{p,q}$ and $\xi_{p,q}$ so that
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2))| < \eta_{p,q}$, and
$|e^w - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \xi_{p,q}$
Also choose $\delta_0, \delta_q, \delta'_q$ so that
$|e^w - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 2| < \delta_0$
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2))| < \delta_q$, and
$|e^w - (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \delta'_q$.

Define

$$\alpha(z)=\begin{cases}\log 2, & z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\\ \pi i+\log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2), & z\in G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\\ \pi i+\log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2), & z\in B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\end{cases}$$

$$\beta(z)=\begin{cases}\log 2, & z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\\ \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2), & z\in B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\\ \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2), & z\in G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(z)=\begin{cases}\delta_0, & z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\\ \delta_q, & z\in G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\\ \eta_{p,q}, & z\in B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z)=\begin{cases}\delta_0, & z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\\ \delta'_q, & z\in B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\\ \xi_{p,q}, & z\in G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots\end{cases}$$

Clearly $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z)-\alpha(z)|<\epsilon(z)$ for all $z\in S$. The function $f(z)=e^{\gamma(z)}$ is an entire function which satisfies
$|f(z)-2|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}$
$|f(z)+(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$
$|f(z)+(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$
Thus f maps $G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}$ into the smaller disk $|z-2|<\frac{1}{2}$ of G_0 and so $G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}$ contains a fixed point ζ such that $f^n\Big(G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\Big)\to\zeta$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus $G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\subset F(f)$. Also f maps each $G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$ inside a smaller disk of $B_{0,q}$ and each $B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$ is mapped inside a smaller disk of $B_{p,q+1}$. Thus each $G_{p,q}$ and also each $B_{p,q}$ lies in the Fatou set of f. Let $C_{p,q}$ be the components of $G_{p,q}$. Then $C_{p,q}$ are wandering domains for f.
Next as $\beta(z)$ is also a continuous function on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that if $g(z)=e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ then
$|g(z)-2|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}$
$|g(z)-(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$
$|g(z)-(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$
As earlier, $G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\subset F(g)$. Also g maps each $B_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$ inside a smaller disk in $G_{0,q}$ and each $G_{p,q},\ p=0,1,\ldots,q=1,2,\ldots$ is mapped

inside a smaller disk in $G_{p,q+1}$ so that each $G_{p,q}$ as well as each $B_{p,q}$ lies in $F(g)$. Let $C_{0,q}, C'_{0,q}$ respectively be the components of $F(f)$ and $F(g)$ containing $G_{0,q}$. Since every unbounded Fatou component of transcendental entire function is simply connected [1], it follows that $G_{0,q} = C_{0,q} \cap C'_{0,q} \cap G_{0,q}$ are simply connected domains which lies in the wandering component of f and also in the wandering component of g. Also for any $z \in G_{0,q}$, $|f(z) + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$ so that $f(z) \in B_{0,q}$ and consequently $|g(f(z)) - 4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$. And so $g(f(z))$ lies in $G_{0,q}$. Further since f and g are contraction mapping it follows that $G_{0,q} \subset F(g \circ f)$ and lies in periodic component of $g \circ f$. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *There exists infinite number of domains which lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$ and the wandering component of $g \circ f$.*

Proof. Let $S, \alpha, f, \epsilon(z), \delta_0, \delta_q, \eta_{p,q}$ be as defined in the Theorem 2.1. For each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ choose $\xi_{p,q}$ so that
$|e^w - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q + 1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \xi_{p,q}$.
Define

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2), & z \in B_{p,q},\ p = 0, 1, \ldots, q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2), & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2), & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \ldots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \eta_{p,q}, & z \in B_{p,q},\ p = 0, 1, \ldots, q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \delta_q, & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \xi_{p,q}, & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \ldots \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\beta(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that $|\beta(z) - \gamma_1(z)| < \epsilon_1(z)$ for all $z \in S$. The entire function $g(z) = e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ satisfies
$|g(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|g(z) + (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_{p,q},\ p = 0, 1, \ldots, q = 1, 2, \ldots$
$|g(z) + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad , z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots$
$|g(z) - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad , z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \ldots$
Thus g maps $G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots$ into a smaller disk in $B_{0,q}$, each $G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \ldots$ into a smaller disk in $G_{p,q+1}$ and each $B_{p,q},\ p = 0, 1, \ldots, q = 1, 2, \ldots$ into a smaller disk in $B_{p,q+1}$. As in Theorem 2.1 it can be easily seen that $G_{0,q}$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$ and wandering component $g \circ f$. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *There exists infinite number of domains which lies in the periodic component of* f *and periodic component of* g *but lies in the wandering component of* $g \circ f$ *and the wandering component of* $f \circ g$.

Proof. We construct the functions f and g on the Carleman set S of Theorem 2.1.
Using the continuity of e^z for each $q = 1, 2, \dots$ choose $\delta_0, \delta_q, \delta'_q$ so that
$|e^w - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 2| < \delta_0$
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2))| < \delta_q$, and
$|e^w - (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \delta'_q$.
Also choose $\xi_{p,q}$ and $\eta_{p,q}$ respectively such that
$|e^w - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q + 1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \xi_{p,q}$, and
$|e^w + |(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q + 1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2))| < \eta_{p,q}$.
Define

$$\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2), & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ & \\ \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2), & z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2), & z \in B_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2), & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_q, & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \delta'_q, & z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \eta_{p,q}, & z \in B_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \xi_{p,q}, & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

As S is a Carleman set, $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z) - \alpha(z)| < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in S$. Consequently the entire function $f(z) = e^{\gamma(z)}$ satisfies
$|f(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|f(z) + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$|f(z) - (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$|f(z) + (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots$
$|f(z) - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots$
Thus the function f satisfies

$f\Big(G_0 \cup \Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\Big\}\Big) \subset \{|z-2| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset G_0$
$f(G_{0,q}) \subset \{|z + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$f(B_{0,q}) \subset \{|z - (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$f(B_{p,q}) \subset \{|z + (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset B_{p,q+1},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots$
$f(G_{p,q}) \subset \{|z - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset G_{p,q+1},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots$
We now choose μ_q, μ'_q so that
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2))| < \mu_q$, and
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2))| < \mu'_q$.
We now define

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\Big\} \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2), & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2), & z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2), & z \in B_{p,q},\ p \geq 2, q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2), & z \in B_{1,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2), & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\Big\} \\ \mu_q, & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \mu'_q, & z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \eta_{p,q}, & z \in B_{p,q},\ p \geq 2, q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \delta'_q, & z \in B_{1,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots \\ \xi_{p,q}, & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

As $\beta(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that $|\gamma_1(z) - \beta(z)| < \epsilon_1(z)$ for all $z \in S$. The entire function $g(z) = e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ satisfies
$|g(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\Big\}$
$|g(z) + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$|g(z) + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$|g(z) + (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_{p,q},\ p \geq 2, q = 1, 2, \dots$
$|g(z) - (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_{1,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$|g(z) - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \dots$
Thus the function g satisfies
$g\Big(G_0 \cup \Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\Big\}\Big) \subset \{|z-2| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset G_0$
$g(G_{0,q}) \subset \{|z + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset B_{1,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$g(B_{0,q}) \subset \{|z + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset B_{2,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$
$g(B_{1,q}) \subset \{|z - (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \dots$

$g(B_{p,q}) \subset \{|z + (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset B_{p,q+1},\ p \geq 2, q = 1, 2, \ldots$
$g(G_{p,q}) \subset \{|z - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset G_{p,q+1,}\ p, q = 1, 2, \ldots$
It can now be easily seen that $G_{0,q}$ lies in the periodic component of f and periodic component of g but lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$. ☐

Theorem 2.4. *There exists infinite number of domains which lies in the periodic component of f and periodic component of g and also in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ but lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$.*

Proof. Let $S, \delta_0, \delta_q, \delta'_q$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Choose $\delta''_q, \delta_{1,q}, \delta_{2,q}$ such that
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 3q + 7) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 3q + 7) + 2))| < \delta_{1,q}$
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + q + 2) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + q + 2) + 2))| < \delta_{2,q}$,
and
$|e^w + (4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 2q + 4) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 2q + 4) + 2))| < \delta''_q$.
Also choose $\xi_{p,q}$ and $\eta_{p,q}$ such that
$|e^w - (4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q + 1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \xi_{p,q}$, and
$|e^w + |(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2)| < \eta_{p,q}$.
Define

$$\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2), & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 1) + 2), & z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + 3q + 7) + 2), & z \in B_{1,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2} + q + 2) + 2), & z \in B_{2,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \pi i + \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2), & z \in B_{p,q},\ p \geq 3, q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \log(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2} + 1 + p(q+1) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2}) + 2), & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \ldots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_q, & z \in G_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \delta'_q, & z \in B_{0,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \delta_{1,q}, & z \in B_{1,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \delta_{2,q}, & z \in B_{2,q},\ q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \eta_{p,q}, & z \in B_{p,q},\ p \geq 3, q = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \xi_{p,q}, & z \in G_{p,q},\ p, q = 1, 2, \ldots \end{cases}$$

As earlier there exists an entire function $\gamma(z)$ and consequently an entire function $f(z) = e^{\gamma(z)}$ which satisfies

$$|f(z)-2|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_0\cup\Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\Big\}$$
$$|f(z)+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$|f(z)-(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$|f(z)+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+3q+7)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{1,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$|f(z)+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{2,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$|f(z)+(4(\tfrac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\tfrac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{p,q},\ p\geq 3,q=1,2,\dots$$
$$|f(z)-(4(\tfrac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\tfrac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_{p,q},\ p,q=1,2,\dots$$

Thus the function f satisfies

$$f\Big(G_0\cup\Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\Big\}\Big)\subset\{|z-2|<\tfrac{1}{2}\}\subset G_0$$
$$f(G_{0,q})\subset\{|z+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2}\}\subset B_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$f(B_{0,q})\subset\{|z-(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2}\}\subset G_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$f(B_{1,q})\subset\{|z+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+3q+7)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2}\}\subset B_{3,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$f(B_{2,q})\subset\{|z+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2}\}\subset B_{1,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$f(B_{p,q})\subset\{|z+(4(\tfrac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\tfrac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2}\}\subset B_{p,q+1},\ p\geq 3,q=1,2,\dots$$
$$f(G_{p,q})\subset\{|z-(4(\tfrac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\tfrac{p(p+1)}{2})+2|<\tfrac{1}{2}\}\subset G_{p,q+1},\ p,q=1,2,\dots$$

We now define

$$\beta(z)=\begin{cases}\log 2, & z\in G_0\cup\Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\Big\}\\ \pi i+\log(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2), & z\in G_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots\\ \pi i+\log(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2), & z\in B_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots\\ \log(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2), & z\in B_{1,q},\ q=1,2,\dots\\ \pi i+\log(4(\tfrac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\tfrac{p(p+1)}{2})+2), & z\in B_{p,q},\ p\geq 2,q=1,2,\dots\\ \log(4(\tfrac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\tfrac{p(p+1)}{2})+2, & z\in G_{p,q},\ p,q=1,2,\dots\end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z)=\begin{cases}\delta_0, & z\in G_0\cup\Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\Big\}\\ \delta_{2,q}, & z\in G_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots\\ \delta''_q, & z\in B_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots\\ \delta'_q, & z\in B_{1,q},\ q=1,2,\dots\\ \eta_{p,q}, & z\in B_{p,q},\ p\geq 2,q=1,2,\dots\\ \xi_{p,q}, & z\in G_{p,q},\ p,q=1,2,\dots\end{cases}$$

As earlier there exists an entire function $g(z)=e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ which satisfies

$$|g(z)-2|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_0\cup\Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\Big\}$$
$$|g(z)+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$|g(z)+(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$
$$|g(z)-(4(\tfrac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\tfrac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{1,q},\ q=1,2,\dots$$

$|g(z)+(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_{p,q},\ p\geq 2, q=1,2,\ldots$
$|g(z)-(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_{p,q},\ p,q=1,2,\ldots$
Thus the function g satisfies
$g\Big(G_0\cup\Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\Big\}\Big)\subset\{|z-2|<\frac{1}{2}\}\subset G_0$
$g(G_{0,q})\subset\{|z+(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+q+2)+2)|<\frac{1}{2}\}\subset B_{1,q},\ q=1,2,\ldots$
$g(B_{0,q})\subset\{|z+(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+2q+4)+2)|<\frac{1}{2}\}\subset B_{2,q},\ q=1,2,\ldots$
$g(B_{1,q})\subset\{|z-(4(\frac{q(q-1)}{2}+1)+2)|<\frac{1}{2}\}\subset G_{0,q},\ q=1,2,\ldots$
$g(B_{p,q})\subset\{|z+(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\frac{1}{2}\}\subset B_{p,q+1},\ p\geq 2, q=1,2,\ldots$
$g(G_{p,q})\subset\{|z-(4(\frac{q(q+1)}{2}+1+p(q+1)+\frac{p(p+1)}{2})+2)|<\frac{1}{2}\}\subset G_{p,q+1,}\ p,q=1,2,\ldots$
It can now be easily seen that $G_{0,q}$ lies in the periodic component of f and periodic component of g and also lies in the periodic component of $g\circ f$ but lies in the wandering component of $f\circ g$. □

Theorem 2.5. *There exists a domain which lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the preperiodic component of $g\circ f$ and periodic component of $f\circ g$.*

Proof. Let S be the Carleman set defined as in Theorem 2.1. Using the continuity of e^z for each $k=1,2,\ldots$ choose η_k and ξ_k so that
$|e^w+(4k+6)|<\frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w-(\pi i+\log(4k+6))|<\eta_k,$ and
$|e^w-(4k+6)|<\frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w-\log(4k+6)|<\xi_k$.
Also choose $\delta_0,\delta_1,\delta_2,\delta_3,\delta_4$ so that
$|e^w-2|<\frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w-\log 2|<\delta_0$,
$|e^w+6|<\frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w-(\pi i+\log 6)|<\delta_1$,
$|e^w-6|<\frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w-\log 6|<\delta_2$,
$|e^w-10|<\frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w-\log 10|<\delta_3,$ and
$|e^w+10|<\frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w-(\pi i+\log 10)|<\delta_4$.
We next define

$$\alpha(z)=\begin{cases}\log 2, & z\in G_0\cup\Big\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\Big\}\\ \pi i+\log 6, & z\in G_1\\ \log 6, & z\in B_2\\ \pi i+\log 10, & z\in G_2\\ \log 10, & z\in B_1\\ \pi i+\log(4k+6), & z\in B_k,\ k=3,4,\ldots\\ \log(4k+6), & z\in G_k,\ k=3,4,\ldots\end{cases}$$

$$\beta(z)=\begin{cases}\log 2, & z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\\ \pi i+\log 6, & z\in G_1\\ \pi i+\log 10, & z\in G_2\\ \pi i+\log(4k+6), & z\in B_k,\ k=1,2,\dots\\ \log(4k+6), & z\in G_k,\ k=3,4,\dots\end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(z)=\begin{cases}\delta_0, & z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\\ \delta_1, & z\in G_1\\ \delta_2, & z\in B_2\\ \delta_4, & z\in G_2\\ \delta_3, & z\in B_1\\ \eta_k, & z\in B_k,\ k=3,4,\dots\\ \xi_k, & z\in G_k,\ k=3,4,\dots\end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z)=\begin{cases}\delta_0, & z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}\\ \delta_1, & z\in G_1\\ \delta_4, & z\in G_2\\ \eta_k, & z\in B_k,\ k=1,2,\dots\\ \xi_k, & z\in G_k,\ k=3,4,\dots\end{cases}$$

Clearly $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z)-\alpha(z)|<\epsilon(z)$ for all $z\in S$. The function $f(z)=e^{\gamma(z)}$ is an entire function and it satisfies

$|f(z)-2|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}$
$|f(z)+6|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_1$
$|f(z)-6|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_2$
$|f(z)+10|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_2$
$|f(z)-10|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_1$
$|f(z)+(4k+6)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_k,\ k=3,4,\dots$
$|f(z)-(4k+6)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_k,\ k=3,4,\dots$

Also $\beta(z)$ is a continuous function on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that if $g(z)=e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ then

$|g(z)-2|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_0\cup\left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k\cup M_k)\right\}$
$|g(z)+6|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_1$
$|g(z)+10|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_2$
$|g(z)+(4k+6)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in B_k,\ k=1,2,\dots$
$|g(z)-(4k+6)|<\frac{1}{2},\quad z\in G_k,\ k=3,4,\dots$

It can now be easily seen that G_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$. □

Remark 2.6.
(i) B_2 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.
(ii) B_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and preperiodic component of $f \circ g$.
(iii) G_2 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
(iv) Both $G_k,\ k \geq 3$ and $B_k,\ k \geq 3$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and the wandering component of $f \circ g$.

Theorem 2.7. *There exists a domain which lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.*

Proof. Let S be the Carleman set defined as in Theorem 2.1. Using the continuity of e^z for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ choose $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \eta_k, \xi_k$ so that
$|e^w - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 2| < \delta_0$,
$|e^w + 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 6)| < \delta_1$,
$|e^w + 10| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 10)| < \delta_2$
$|e^w - 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 6| < \delta_3$,
$|e^w + (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4k+6))| < \eta_k$, and
$|e^w - (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4k+6)| < \xi_k$.
We next define

$$\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_1 \\ \pi i + \log 10, & z \in B_1 \\ \log 6, & z \in B_2 \\ \pi i + \log(4k+6), & z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \ldots \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_1 \\ \pi i + \log(4k+6), & z \in B_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_1 \\ \delta_3, & z \in B_2 \\ \eta_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_1 \\ \eta_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 1, 2, \dots \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z) - \alpha(z)| < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in S$. The function $f(z) = e^{\gamma(z)}$ is an entire function and it satisfies
$|f(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\}$
$|f(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$
$|f(z) + 10| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$
$|f(z) - 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_2$
$|f(z) + (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots$
$|f(z) - (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots$
Also $\beta(z)$ is a continuous function on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that if $g(z) = e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ then
$|g(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\}$
$|g(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$
$|g(z) + (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 1, 2, \dots$
$|g(z) - (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots$
It can now be easily seen that G_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$. ☐

Remark 2.8.
(i) Both B_1 and B_2 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and the wandering component of $f \circ g$.
(ii) Both $B_k,\ k \geq 3$ and $G_k,\ k \geq 2$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and the wandering component of $f \circ g$.

Theorem 2.9. *There exists a domain which lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.*

Proof. Let S be the Carleman set defined as in Theorem 2.1. Using the continuity of e^z for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ choose η_k, ξ_k, μ_k so that
$|e^w + (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4k+6))| < \eta_k$
$|e^w - (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4k+6)| < \xi_k$, and
$|e^w + (4k-2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4k-2))| < \mu_k$
Also choose $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ so that
$|e^w - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 2| < \delta_0$,
$|e^w + 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 6)| < \delta_1$,
$|e^w - 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 6| < \delta_2$, and
$|e^w + 14| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 14)| < \delta_3$
We next define

$$\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_1 \\ \log 6, & z \in B_1 \\ \log 6, & z \in B_2 \\ \pi i + \log(4k-2), & z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_1 \\ \log 6, & z \in B_2 \\ \pi i + \log 14, & z \in B_1 \\ \pi i + \log(4k+6), & z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_2 \\ \mu_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_2 \\ \delta_3, & z \in B_1 \\ \eta_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z) - \alpha(z)| < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in S$. The function $f(z) = e^{\gamma(z)}$ is an entire function and it satisfies

$|f(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$

$|f(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$

$|f(z) - 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$

$|f(z) - 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_2$

$|f(z) + (4k - 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots$

$|f(z) - (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots$

Also $\beta(z)$ is a continuous function on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that if $g(z) = e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ then

$|g(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$

$|g(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$

$|g(z) - 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_2$

$|g(z) + 14| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$

$|g(z) + (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 3, 4, \dots$

$|g(z) - (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots$

It can now be easily seen that G_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$. ☐

Remark 2.10.
- (i) Similar to G_1, B_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
- (ii) B_2 lies in the preperiodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
- (iii) $B_k,\ k \geq 3$ lies in the preperiodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
- (iv) $G_k,\ k \geq 2$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and the wandering component of $f \circ g$.

Theorem 2.11. *There exists a domain which lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.*

Proof. Let S be the Carleman set defined as in Theorem 2.1. Using the continuity of e^z for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ choose η_k, ξ_k so that
$|e^w + (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4k+6))| < \eta_k$, and
$|e^w - (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4k+6)| < \xi_k$
Also choose $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5$ so that
$|e^w - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 2| < \delta_0$,
$|e^w + 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 6)| < \delta_1$,
$|e^w - 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 6| < \delta_2$,
$|e^w + 10| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 10)| < \delta_3$,
$|e^w + 14| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 14)| < \delta_4$, and
$|e^w + 18| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 18)| < \delta_5$
We next define

$$\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_1 \\ \log 6, & z \in B_1 \\ \pi i + \log 14, & z \in B_2 \\ \pi i + \log 18, & z \in B_3 \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in B_4 \\ \pi i + \log(4k+6), & z \in B_k,\ k = 5, 6, \dots \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \pi i + \log 10, & z \in B_1 \\ \log 6, & z \in B_2 \\ \pi i + \log 18, & z \in B_3 \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in B_4 \\ \pi i + \log(4k+6), & z \in B_k,\ k = 5, 6, \dots \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_1 \\ \delta_4, & z \in B_2 \\ \delta_5, & z \in B_3 \\ \delta_1, & z \in B_4 \\ \eta_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 5, 6, \ldots \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_3, & z \in B_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_2 \\ \delta_5, & z \in B_3 \\ \delta_1, & z \in B_4 \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \eta_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 5, 6, \ldots \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z) - \alpha(z)| < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in S$. The function $f(z) = e^{\gamma(z)}$ is an entire function and it satisfies

$|f(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|f(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$
$|f(z) - 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$
$|f(z) + 14| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_2$
$|f(z) + 18| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_3$
$|f(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_4$
$|f(z) + (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 5, 6, \ldots$
$|f(z) - (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots$

Also $\beta(z)$ is a continuous function on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that if $g(z) = e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ then

$|g(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|g(z) + 10| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$
$|g(z) - 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_2$
$|g(z) + 18| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_3$
$|g(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_4$
$|g(z) + (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 5, 6, \ldots$
$|g(z) - (4k + 6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$

It can now be easily seen that G_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$. □

Remark 2.12.
- (i) $G_k,\ k \geq 2$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and the wandering component of $f \circ g$.
- (ii) B_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
- (iii) B_2 lies in the preperiodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and preperiodic component of $f \circ g$.
- (iv) B_3 lies in the preperiodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
- (v) B_4 lies in the preperiodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.
- (vi) $B_k,\ k \geq 5$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and the wandering component of $f \circ g$.

Theorem 2.13. *There exists infinite number of domains which lies in the preperiodic component of f and preperiodic component of g and also lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ and preperiodic component of $f \circ g$.*

Proof. Let S be the Carleman set defined as in Theorem 2.1. Using the continuity of e^z for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ choose $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \mu_k, \nu_k$ so that
$|e^w - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 2| < \delta_0$,
$|e^w + 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 6)| < \delta_1$,
$|e^w + 10| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 10)| < \delta_2$,
$|e^w + (4k-2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4k-2))| < \mu_k$, and
$|e^w - (4k-2)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4k-2)| < \nu_k$
We next define

$$\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \pi i + \log 10, & z \in B_1 \\ \pi i + \log(4k-2), & z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_1 \\ \pi i + \log 10, & z \in B_1 \\ \pi i + \log(4k-2), & z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \\ \log(4k-2), & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_1 \\ \mu_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_1 \\ \mu_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \\ \nu_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z) - \alpha(z)| < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in S$. The function $f(z) = e^{\gamma(z)}$ is an entire function and it satisfies
$|f(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|f(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$
$|f(z) + 10| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$
$|f(z) + (4k - 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots$
Also $\beta(z)$ is a continuous function on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that if $g(z) = e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ then
$|g(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|g(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$
$|g(z) + 10| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$
$|g(z) + (4k - 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots$
$|g(z) - (4k - 2)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots$
It can now be easily seen that each $G_k,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots$ lies in the preperiodic component of f and preperiodic component of g and also lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ and preperiodic component of $f \circ g$. □

Remark 2.14.
(i) Both B_1 and B_2 lies in the periodic component of f and periodic component of g and also lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
(ii) $B_k,\ k \geq 3$ lies in the preperiodic component of f and preperiodic component of g and also lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ and preperiodic component of $f \circ g$.

Theorem 2.15. *There exists a domain which lies in the preperiodic component of f and preperiodic component of g and also lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ but lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$.*

Proof. Let S be the Carleman set defined as in Theorem 2.1. Using the continuity of e^z for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ choose η_k, ξ_k so that
$|e^w + (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log(4k+6))| < \eta_k,$ and
$|e^w - (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log(4k+6)| < \xi_k$.
Also choose $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4$ so that
$|e^w - 2| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 2| < \delta_0$,
$|e^w + 6| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 6)| < \delta_1$,
$|e^w - 10| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - \log 10| < \delta_2$,
$|e^w + 10| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 10)| < \delta_3,$ and
$|e^w + 14| < \frac{1}{2}$, whenever $|w - (\pi i + \log 14)| < \delta_4$.
We next define

$$\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_1 \\ \log 10, & z \in B_1 \\ \pi i + \log 6, & z \in G_2 \\ \pi i + \log(4k+6), & z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 3, 4, \ldots \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} \log 2, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \pi i + \log 14, & z \in G_1 \\ \log 10, & z \in B_1 \\ \pi i + \log 14, & z \in B_2 \\ \pi i + \log 10, & z \in B_3 \\ \log(4k+6), & z \in G_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \\ \pi i + \log(4k+6), & z \in B_k,\ k = 4, 5, \ldots \end{cases}$$

$$\epsilon(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (L_k \cup M_k) \right\} \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_1 \\ \delta_1, & z \in G_2 \\ \eta_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 2, 3, \ldots \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 3, 4, \ldots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(z) = \begin{cases} \delta_0, & z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\} \\ \delta_4, & z \in G_1 \\ \delta_2, & z \in B_1 \\ \delta_4, & z \in B_2 \\ \delta_3, & z \in B_3 \\ \xi_k, & z \in G_k,\ k = 2,3,\dots \\ \eta_k, & z \in B_k,\ k = 4,5,\dots \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\alpha(z)$ is continuous on S and analytic in S°. Thus there is an entire function $\gamma(z)$ such that $|\gamma(z) - \alpha(z)| < \epsilon(z)$ for all $z \in S$. The function $f(z) = e^{\gamma(z)}$ is an entire function and it satisfies
$|f(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|f(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$
$|f(z) - 10| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$
$|f(z) + 6| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_2$
$|f(z) + (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 2,3,\dots$
$|f(z) - (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 3,4,\dots$
Also $\beta(z)$ is a continuous function on S and analytic in S°, there exists an entire function $\gamma_1(z)$ such that if $g(z) = e^{\gamma_1(z)}$ then
$|g(z) - 2| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_0 \cup \left\{\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}(L_k \cup M_k)\right\}$
$|g(z) + 14| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_1$
$|g(z) - 10| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_1$
$|g(z) + 14| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_2$
$|g(z) + 10| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_3$
$|g(z) - (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in G_k,\ k = 2,3,\dots$
$|g(z) + (4k+6)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in B_k,\ k = 4,5,\dots$
It can now be easily seen that G_1 lies in the preperiodic component of f and preperiodic component of g and also lies in the preperiodic component of $g \circ f$ but lies in the wandering component of $f \circ g$. □

Remark 2.16.
- (i) B_1 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.
- (ii) G_2 lies in the periodic component of f and wandering component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.
- (iii) $G_k,\ k \geq 3$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.
- (iv) B_2 lies in the wandering component of f and periodic component of g and lies in the periodic component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.

(v) B_3 lies in the wandering component of f and periodic component of g and lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and periodic component of $f \circ g$.

(vi) $B_k,\ k \geq 4$ lies in the wandering component of f and wandering component of g and also lies in the wandering component of $g \circ f$ and wandering component of $f \circ g$.

Acknowledgement. We are thankful to A. P. Singh, Central University of Rajasthan for his help in writing this paper.

References

[1] I. N. Baker, The domains of normality of an entire function. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. **1** (1975), 277-283.

[2] I. N. Baker, An entire function which has wandering domain, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser A) **22** (1976), 173-176.

[3] I. N. Baker, Wandering domains in the iteration of entire functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. **49** (1984), 563-576.

[4] I. N. Baker and P. J. Rippon, Iteration of Exponential Functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Series 1A Math.**9** (1984), 49-77.

[5] I. N. Baker, Infinite limits in the iteration of entire functions, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **8** (1988), 503-507.

[6] I. N. Baker, J. Kotus and Lu Yinian, Iterates of meromorphic functions II: Examples of wandering domains, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **42** (1990), 267-278.

[7] I. N. Baker, J. Kotus and Lu Yinian, Iterates of meromorphic functions IV: Critically finite functions, Results Math. **22** (1992), 651-656.

[8] I. N. Baker and A. P. Singh, Wandering domain in the iteration of composition of entire functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. **20** (1995), 149-153.

[9] A. F. Beardon, *Iteration of rational functions*, Springer Verlag, (1991).

[10] W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1993), 151-188.

[11] W. Bergweiler, M. Haruta, H. Kriete, H. G. Meier and N. Terglane, On the limit functions of iterates in wandering domain, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. **18** (1993), 369-375.

[12] W. Bergweiler and St. Rohde, Omitted values in domains of normality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 1857-1858.

[13] W. Bergweiler and N. Terglane, Weakly repelling fix points and connectivity of wandering domain, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 1-12.

[14] W. Bergweiler and A. Hinkannen, On the semiconjugation of entire functions, Math. Seminar Christian Albrechts-Univ. Kiel **21**(1997), 1-10.

[15] W. Bergweiler and Y. Wang, On the dynamics of composite entire functions. Ark. Math. **36** (1998), 31-39.

[16] L. Carleson and T. W. Gamelin, *Complex dynamics*, Springer Verlag, (1993).

[17] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich, Iterates of entire functions, Soviet Math. Dokl. **30** (1984), 592-594.

[18] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich, Dynamical properties of some classes of entire functions, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, **42** (1992), 989-1020.

[19] D. Gaier, Lectures on complex approximation, Birkhauser, (1987).

[20] L. R. Goldberg and L. Keen, A finiteness theorem for a dynamical class of entire functions, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **6** (1986), 183-192.

[21] M. E. Herring, Mapping properties of Fatou components, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **23** (1998), 263-274.

[22] X. H. Hua and C. C. Yang, *Dynamics of transcendental functions*, Gordon and Breach Science Pub. (1998).

[23] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in One Complex Variable*, (third edition), Annals of Math. Studies **160**, Princeton U. Press, (2006).

[24] S. Morosawa, Y. Nishimura, M. Taniguchi and T. Ueda, *Holomorphic dynamics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, (2000).

[25] K. K. Poon and C. C. Yang, Dynamics of composite entire functions, Proc. Japan. Acad. Sci. **74** (1998), 87-89.

[26] A. P. Singh, On the dynamics of composition of entire functions, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **134** (2003), 129-138.

[27] G. W. Stallard, A class of meromorphic functions with no wandering domains, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. **16** (1991), 211-226.

[28] D. Sullivan, Quasiconformal homeomorpism and dynamics I, Solution of the Fatou Julia problem on wandering domains, Ann. Math. **122** (1985), 401-418.

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi–110 007, India
E-mail address: `dinukumar680@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi–110 007, India
E-mail address: `datt.gopal@ymail.com`

Department of Mathematics, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya College, University of Delhi, Delhi–110 007, India
E-mail address: `sanjpant@gmail.com`